		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	an/	10/677,399	SMITH ET AL.
	iai y	Examiner	Art Unit
		Robert P. Swiatek	3643
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) Robert P. Swiatek.		(3)	
(2) Mr. Jeffrey F. Craft.		(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 March 2005		Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: Possible 35 USC 103(a)			
Claims discussed: 35, 40, 45			
Prior art documents discussed:  Burkey (US 1,974,444)			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicants' representative authorized changes to claims 35, 45 so as to further define them over the prior art. See examiner's amendment.			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
•			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)